

Consultation response

Study on an integrated and innovative overhaul of EU rules governing e-commerce transactions from third countries from a customs and taxation perspective



AmCham EU speaks for American companies committed to Europe on trade, investment and competitiveness issues. It aims to ensure a growth-orientated business and investment climate in Europe. AmCham EU facilitates the resolution of transatlantic issues that impact business and plays a role in creating better understanding of EU and US positions on business matters. Aggregate US investment in Europe totalled more than €3 trillion in 2020, directly supports more than 4.8 million jobs in Europe, and generates billions of euros annually in income, trade and research and development.

Contribution ID: 47e2dd21-95a8-4076-87fa-ca8254e7e8c6

Date: 10/03/2022 15:57:29

Study on an integrated and innovative overhaul of EU rules governing e-commerce transactions from third countries from a customs and taxation perspective

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Introduction

The digitalisation of the economy and the increasing role of e-commerce offers a great potential for consumers and traders. At the same time, it presents significant challenges for all stakeholders. E-commerce volumes show a dynamic growth, and the proportion of cross-border e-commerce is constantly increasing. The COVID-19 pandemic has further boosted e-commerce import volumes, a trend that is expected to last in the years to come.

With its large volume of parcels, e-commerce is posing particular challenges for Customs and Tax Authorities that need to tackle the financial and non-financial risks inherent to such imports.

In this respect, it is vital to strengthen the legal framework by enhancing the roles and responsibilities of the main e-commerce stakeholders such as online marketplaces and platforms and seeking synergies in customs duty and import tax collection procedures. Essentially, it is vital to reinforce the cooperation between Customs and Tax Authorities and the cooperation with other authorities for the controls of non-fiscal requirements.

At the same time, facilitating e-commerce is a cornerstone of the EU's Digital Single Market strategy. Over 70% of the EU's population purchase goods online and an increasing number do it directly from third countries. These purchasers need simple rules and procedures to comply with their obligations to pay import duties and taxes. When buying online, consumers prefer paying all-inclusive prices upon checkout, so that they can avoid any additional payment obligation upon the delivery of the product. At the same time non-fiscal risks need to be addressed, including for safety and security purposed.

Therefore, the European Commission is working on revisiting the customs and taxation collection systems for e-commerce (fiscal aspects) and on identifying innovative customs control approaches for e-commerce imports (non-fiscal aspects).

In respect of this, the following questions focus on your views and experiences on the import of ecommerce consignments into the EU, as well as on several policy options that might improve the situation This consultation closes on 10 March 2022

Company/business organisation

*Language of my contribution

About you

Bulgarian

Croatian

Czech

Danish

	Barnon
0	Dutch
•	English
0	Estonian
0	Finnish
0	French
0	German
0	Greek
0	Hungarian
0	Irish
	Italian
	Latvian
	Lithuanian
	Maltese
	Polish
	Portuguese
0	Romanian
0	Slovak
	Slovenian
0	Spanish
0	Swedish
*I am	giving my contribution as
	Academic/research institution
(0)	Business association
	DUSINESS 4550CIANON

Consumer organisation
EU citizen
Environmental organisation
Non-EU citizen
Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
Public authority
Trade union
Other
*First name
Alex Ozan
*Surname
Buyruk
*Email (this won't be published)
alex.buyruk@amchameu.eu
*Organisation name
255 character(s) maximum
American Chamber of Commerce to the EU
*Organisation size
Micro (1 to 9 employees)
Small (10 to 49 employees)
Medium (50 to 249 employees)
Large (250 or more)
Largo (200 of more)
Transparency register number
255 character(s) maximum
Check if your organisation is on the <u>transparency register</u> . It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.
5265780509-97

*Country of origin

Please add your country of origin, or that of your organisation.

0	Afghanistan		Djibouti	0	Libya	0	Saint Martin
	Åland Islands		Dominica		Liechtenstein		Saint Pierre and
							Miquelon
0	Albania		Dominican		Lithuania		Saint Vincent
			Republic				and the
							Grenadines
0	Algeria		Ecuador		Luxembourg		Samoa
0	American Samoa		Egypt	0	Macau		San Marino
0	Andorra		El Salvador		Madagascar		São Tomé and
							Príncipe
	Angola		Equatorial Guinea	a 🔘	Malawi		Saudi Arabia
0	Anguilla		Eritrea	0	Malaysia		Senegal
0	Antarctica		Estonia		Maldives		Serbia
0	Antigua and		Eswatini		Mali		Seychelles
	Barbuda						
0	Argentina		Ethiopia		Malta		Sierra Leone
0	Armenia		Falkland Islands		Marshall Islands		Singapore
0	Aruba		Faroe Islands		Martinique		Sint Maarten
0	Australia		Fiji		Mauritania		Slovakia
	Austria		Finland	0	Mauritius	0	Slovenia
	Azerbaijan		France		Mayotte		Solomon Islands
0	Bahamas		French Guiana	0	Mexico		Somalia
0	Bahrain		French Polynesia		Micronesia		South Africa
0	Bangladesh		French Southern		Moldova		South Georgia
			and Antarctic				and the South
			Lands				Sandwich
							Islands
0	Barbados		Gabon	0	Monaco		South Korea
0	Belarus	0	Georgia	0	Mongolia	0	South Sudan
•	Belgium		Germany		Montenegro		Spain
0	Belize		Ghana		Montserrat		Sri Lanka
0	Benin		Gibraltar	0	Morocco	0	Sudan
0	Bermuda		Greece	0	Mozambique	0	Suriname
0	Bhutan		Greenland		Myanmar/Burma		Svalbard and
							Jan Mayen

0	Bolivia		Grenada		Namibia	0	Sweden
0	Bonaire Saint Eustatius and	0	Guadeloupe	0	Nauru	0	Switzerland
	Saba						
0	Bosnia and Herzegovina	0	Guam	0	Nepal	0	Syria
0	Botswana	0	Guatemala	0	Netherlands	0	Taiwan
0	Bouvet Island	0	Guernsey	0	New Caledonia	0	Tajikistan
0	Brazil		Guinea	0	New Zealand	0	Tanzania
0	British Indian Ocean Territory	0	Guinea-Bissau	0	Nicaragua	0	Thailand
0	British Virgin Islands	0	Guyana	0	Niger	0	The Gambia
0	Brunei	0	Haiti	0	Nigeria	0	Timor-Leste
0	Bulgaria	0	Heard Island and		Niue	0	Togo
			McDonald Islands	3			
0	Burkina Faso		Honduras	0	Norfolk Island	0	Tokelau
0	Burundi		Hong Kong	0	Northern	0	Tonga
					Mariana Islands		
0	Cambodia		Hungary	0	North Korea	0	Trinidad and
							Tobago
0	Cameroon	0	Iceland	0	North Macedonia	0	Tunisia
0	Canada	0	India		Norway	0	Turkey
0	Cape Verde	0	Indonesia		Oman	0	Turkmenistan
0	Cayman Islands		Iran	0	Pakistan	0	Turks and
							Caicos Islands
0	Central African	0	Iraq	0	Palau	0	Tuvalu
	Republic						
0	Chad		Ireland		Palestine	0	Uganda
0	Chile		Isle of Man		Panama	0	Ukraine
	China		Israel	0	Papua New	0	United Arab
		_		_	Guinea	_	Emirates
0	Christmas Island	0	Italy	0	Paraguay	0	United Kingdom
	Clipperton		Jamaica		Peru		United States

0	Cocos (Keeling)	Japan	0	Philippines	0	United States
	Islands					Minor Outlying
						Islands
	Colombia	Jersey		Pitcairn Islands		Uruguay
	Comoros	Jordan	0	Poland	0	US Virgin Islands
0	Congo	Kazakhstan		Portugal	0	Uzbekistan
0	Cook Islands	Kenya		Puerto Rico	0	Vanuatu
0	Costa Rica	Kiribati		Qatar	0	Vatican City
0	Côte d'Ivoire	Kosovo		Réunion	0	Venezuela
0	Croatia	Kuwait		Romania	0	Vietnam
0	Cuba	Kyrgyzstan		Russia	0	Wallis and
						Futuna
0	Curaçao	Laos		Rwanda	0	Western Sahara
0	Cyprus	Latvia		Saint Barthélemy		Yemen
0	Czechia	Lebanon		Saint Helena	0	Zambia
				Ascension and		
				Tristan da Cunha		
0	Democratic	Lesotho		Saint Kitts and	0	Zimbabwe
	Republic of the			Nevis		
	Congo					
0	Denmark	Liberia		Saint Lucia		

The Commission will publish all contributions to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. Fo r the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, 'business association, 'consumer association', 'EU citizen') country of origin, organisation name and size, and its transparency register number, are always published. Your e-mail address will never be published. Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

*Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

Anonymous

Only organisation details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

Public

Fulfilment centre

Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

I agree with the personal data protection provisions

Roles and responsibilities for e-commerce transactions

For this consultation we would like to differentiate between the different roles and responsibilities of stakeholders for e-commerce transactions to gain a better understanding of the responses to the questionnaire.

questionnaire.	
*Please indicate which of the following roles for e-commerce transactions apply t	0
you or your organisation/ company. [Multiple answers are possible]	
Customs and/ or Tax Administration	
Government agency (for non-fiscal measures)	
E-commerce platform or marketplace	
Consolidator for e-commerce parcels	
E-commerce vendor or supplier	
EU based vendor for traditional (brick and mortar) sales	
Consumer	
Consumer organisation	
EU business buying e-commerce products	
Freight forwarder or logistics service provider	
Customs agent or broker	
(Express) carrier	

Postal operator or association
Financial intermediary or payment service provider
Software developer or provider
Consultant or legal adviser
Other
In which country or countries are you established/ located?
Belgium
If applicable, please indicate where your parent company is headquartered.
Please select the country where your parent company is headquartered or select the blank line when this does no
apply to you
AF - Afghanistan
AL - Albania
DZ - Algeria
AD - Andorra
O AO A VIII A DE LA LICENSE DE LA COMPANSION DE LA COMPAN
AG - Antigua and Barbuda
AR - Argentina
AM - Armenia
AU - Australia
AT - Austria
AZ - Azerbaijan
BS - Bahamas
BH - Bahrain
BD - Bangladesh
BB - Barbados
BY - Belarus
BE - Belgium
BZ - Belize
BJ - Benin
BT - Bhutan
BO - Bolivia
BA - Bosnia and Herzegovina
BW - Botswana

- BR Brazil
- BN Brunei Darussalam
- BG Bulgaria
- BF Burkina Faso
- BI Burundi
- CV Cabo Verde
- KH Cambodia
- CM Cameroon
- CA Canada
- CF Central African Republic
- TD Chad
- CL Chile
- CN China
- OCO Colombia
- KM Comoros
- CG Congo
- CR Costa Rica
- CI Côte D'Ivoire
- HR Croatia
- CU Cuba
- CY Cyprus
- CZ Czechia
- CD Democratic Republic of the Congo
- DK Denmark
- DJ Djibouti
- DM Dominica
- DO Dominican Republic
- EC Ecuador
- EG Egypt
- SV El Salvador
- GQ Equatorial Guinea
- ER Eritrea
- EE Estonia
- SZ Eswatini

- ET Ethiopia
- FJ Fiji
- FI Finland
- FR France
- GA Gabon
- GM Gambia
- GE Georgia
- DE Germany
- GH Ghana
- GR Greece
- GD Grenada
- GT Guatemala
- ON Guinea
- GW Guinea Bissau
- GY Guyana
- HT Haiti
- HN Honduras
- HU Hungary
- S Iceland
- N India
- D Indonesia
- IR Iran
- IQ Iraq
- IE Ireland
- IL Israel
- IT Italy
- JM Jamaica
- JP Japan
- O JO Jordan
- KZ Kazakhstan
- KE Kenya
- KI Kiribati
- KW Kuwait
- KG Kyrgyzstan

- LA Laos
- LV Latvia
- LB Lebanon
- LS Lesotho
- LR Liberia
- LY Libya
- LI Liechtenstein
- LT Lithuania
- LU Luxembourg
- MG Madagascar
- MW Malawi
- MY Malaysia
- MV Maldives
- ML Mali
- MT Malta
- MH Marshall Islands
- MR Mauritania
- MU Mauritius
- MX Mexico
- FM Micronesia
- MC Monaco
- MN Mongolia
- ME Montenegro
- MA Morocco
- MZ Mozambique
- MM Myanmar
- NA Namibia
- NR Nauru
- NP Nepal
- NL Netherlands
- NZ New Zealand
- NI Nicaragua
- NE Niger
- NG Nigeria

- KP North Korea
- MK North Macedonia
- NO Norway
- OM Oman
- PK Pakistan
- PW Palau
- PA Panama
- PG Papua New Guinea
- PY Paraguay
- PE Peru
- PH Philippines
- PL Poland
- PT Portugal
- QA Qatar
- MD Republic of Moldova
- RO Romania
- RU Russian Federation
- RW Rwanda
- KN Saint Kitts and Nevis
- LC Saint Lucia
- VC Saint Vincent and the Grenadines
- WS Samoa
- SM San Marino
- ST Sao Tome and Principe
- SA Saudi Arabia
- SN Senegal
- RS Serbia
- SC Seychelles
- SL Sierra Leone
- SG Singapore
- SK Slovakia
- SI Slovenia
- SB Solomon Islands
- SO Somalia

- ZA South Africa
- KR South Korea
- SS South Sudan
- ES Spain
- LK Sri Lanka
- SD Sudan
- SR Suriname
- SE Sweden
- CH Switzerland
- SY Syrian Arab Republic
- TJ Tajikistan
- TZ Tanzania
- TH Thailand
- TL Timor-Leste
- TG Togo
- TO Tonga
- TT Trinidad and Tobago
- TN Tunisia
- TR Turkey
- TM Turkmenistan
- TV Tuvalu
- UG Uganda
- UA Ukraine
- AE United Arab Emirates
- GB United Kingdom
- US United States of America
- UY Uruguay
- UZ Uzbekistan
- VU Vanuatu
- VE Venezuela
- VN Viet Nam
- YE Yemen
- ZM Zambia
- ZW Zimbabwe

Do you have operations in the EU and if so, do you operate from more than one Member State of the EU? [One answer per line]

	Yes	No
Operations in the EU?	•	0
More than one Member State?	•	0

Can you provide a short summary to explain what your operations entail and where your main operations take place?

The American Chamber of Commerce to the European Union (AmCham EU) speaks for American business 'committed to Europe on trade, investment and competitiveness issues'. We represent US companies active in the EU across many different industries and sectors including ecommerce, where we represent multiple stakeholders involved in the ecommerce supply and value chain. Given the omnichannel nature of ecommerce, this includes retail companies, marketplaces, fulfillment and logistics service providers with activities across the EU.

Please indicate which of the following sectors your company operate in for e-commerce. [Multiple answers are possible]

Consumer electronics (e.g. phones, gaming consoles, TVs, remotes, etc.)
Electronics accessories (e.g. phone covers, phone mounts, camera cases,
etc.)
Household appliances (e.g. irons, vacuum cleaners, coffee machines, etc.)
Medication
Personal care products (e.g. colognes, cotton swabs, deodorant, shampoo
cosmetics, etc.)
Health care products (e.g. vitamins, mineral herbs, probiotics, etc.)
Medical devices (e.g. thermometer, blood pressure monitors, etc.)
Toys
Textiles (e.g. clothing, shoes, etc.)
Books
Food products (e.g. herbs, cereals, baked goods, drinks, etc.)
Tools
Excise products (e.g. tobacco, cigarettes, alcoholic drinks, etc.)
✓ Other

If you indicated 'other', please specify in which other sectors your company operates for e-commerce.

	w many imports of e-com	nmerce	e cons	signments (parcels) do you deal with on an
	pplicable, approximately		•	ntage of your EU e-commerce sales do you
Tull	in by importing consigning	21118 11	0111 (11	illa countries :
	you have direct experier VAT purposes? <i>[One an</i>			import one stop shop (IOSS) simplification
		Yes	No	
	A a la al day of any IOCC my mala ay			

	Yes	No
As holder of an IOSS number	0	0
As declarant or representative	0	0
Other	0	0

Do you have direct experience with the special arrangement scheme for VAT purposes? [One answer per line]

	Yes	No
As seller or deemed supplier	0	0
As declarant or representative	0	0
Other	0	0

Problem statement verification

The purpose of this section of the consultation is to confirm our understanding of the current challenges you or your organisation may experience when importing e-commerce parcels into the EU.

Are the following challenges relevant to you or your organisation/ company when dealing with e-commerce imports? [One answer per line]

	Very relevant	Relevant	Not so relevant	Not relevant	Don't know
Administrative challenges due to the (growing) volumes of e-commerce	0	0	0	0	0

Administrative challenges due to the diversity of requirements for specific goods	0	0	0	0	0
A significant fiscal customs and / or tax compliance burden	0	0	0	0	0
A significant compliance burden for non-fiscal rules and measures (e.g. regulatory, sanitary, labelling, product standards, etc.)	©	0	0	0	0
A significant burden to comply with the requirements for excise goods	0	0	0	0	0
Unclear fiscal customs and/ or tax rules and a lack of guidance on these rules	0	0	0	0	0
Unclear non-fiscal rules and measures and a lack of guidance on these rules	0	0	0	0	0
Unclear rules for excise goods and a lack of guidance on these rules	0	0	0	0	0
Insufficient alignment between Customs and Tax Authorities	0	0	0	0	0
Insufficient alignment of Customs and Tax authorities with the governmental agencies responsible for the non-fiscal rules and measures	0	•	•	0	•
The availability of electronic data on e- commerce transactions and the possibilities you have to obtain data	0	0	0	0	0
The quality and reliability of the available data on e-commerce transactions	0	0	0	0	0
The capacity of authorities to effectively control incoming e-commerce parcels	0	0	0	0	0
Difficulties with the liability and accountability for taxes, duties and compliance with respect to the fiscal aspects of importing of e- commerce parcels	0	0	0	0	0
Difficulties with the liability and accountability for non-fiscal measures when importing e-commerce parcels	0	0	0	0	0
No level playing field for Non-EU e-commerce sellers and regular EU sellers on their tax, duty and/ or compliance burden	©	0	0	0	0
Fraudulent activities by economic operators with respect to importing e-commerce parcels	0	0	0	0	0

Are the following technological challenges relevant to you or your organisation/company when dealing with e-commerce imports? [One answer per line]

	Very relevant	Relevant	Not so relevant	Not relevant	Don' t know
Complex Data Management	0	0	0	0	0
Lack of integration between national systems and processes	0	0	0	0	0
Differences in the national applications of the various MS	0	0	0	0	0
Lack of capacity of the IT systems of customs and / or tax authorities	0	0	0	0	0
Lack of capacity of your available IT systems	0	0	0	0	0
Constraints from legislations to implement a new technology	0	0	0	0	0
Lack of Governance & Security model	0	0	0	0	0

How well prepared are you to handle the upcoming volumes of the cross-border ecommerce goods with the current IT systems in place? [One answer per line]

	Very prepared	Moderate prepared	Minor prepared	Not prepared	Don't know
Undertaking customs controls	©	0	0	0	©
Handling the customs procedures	0	0	0	0	0
Other VAT aspect	0	0	0	0	0
Others	0	0	0	0	0

Which of the following technological evolutions should be explored by the European Commission in order to address cross-border e-commerce challenges in?

	Should be explored [Yes/No/Don't know]	For what purpose (e.g. risk analysis, automation, data exchange etc.)
Artificial intelligence		
Blockchain		
Data Security	Yes	Data security: To ensure data can be safely exchanged between actors in the ecommerce supply chain and customs authorities
Internet of things	Yes	Internet of things: IOT combines the vast amounts of data from different sources that are using the internet which can be combined by internal data owned by the EU to give insights in e-commerce and evolution.
Biometrics		
RFID technology		
EAN/QR codes	Yes	EAN/QR codes and big data: To allow customs authorities to spot VAT fraud more effectively (e.g. this can for example support an end-to-end system in place to link IOSS merchant/marketplace level identifier with the transaction level identifier for each shipment. It can also help customs authorities to manage non-financial risks more effectively (eg QR codes certifying the authenticity of a branded product).
Data/Big Data technology	Yes	
Cloud computing	Yes	
Other	Yes	

If you indicated 'other', please specify which other emerging technologies should be explored by the European Commission to address the challenges in crossborder e-commerce and why.

Scanning and imaging technology can support counterfeit detection

Are you planning investments in emerging technologies to handle the growing ecommerce import volumes (e.g. the emerging technologies from the list above or any other)? If so, please explain for what purpose and provide an indication in years when you expect to invest in this technology

r	
- 1	
- 1	
- 1	
- 1	
- 1	
- 1	
- 1	

Do you use or configure 3rd party solutions in order to comply with cross-border ecommerce? If so, please indicate the name of the 3rd party solution and the Member States where you use them.

GS1 bar code and standardization solutions developed by GS1

Do you or your organisation/ company experience any further challenges when dealing with e-commerce? If so, please explain these challenges below.

Based on your experience, please express your agreement or disagreement with the following statements concerning the e-commerce market and the import of ecommerce parcels. [One answer per line]

	Agree	Partly agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Partly disagree	Disagree	Don' t know
Undervaluation for the import ofe- commerce parcels is a common practice to avoid passing the threshold of €150 for the payment of customs duties.	0	0	•	•	•	•
Splitting of consignments is a common practice to avoid passing the threshold of €150 for the payment of customs duties.	0	0	0	0	0	0

I can verify data that is provided to me about specific e-commerce parcels, such as the price or the description of the product.	0	0	0	0	0	•
It is easy to obtain additional data on specific e-commerce parcels when this is required to verify the price or description of the product.	0	0	•	•	0	•
Parties involved in the supply chains for the import of e-commerce parcels take (sufficient) responsibility for proper customs clearance.	0	0	•	•	0	•
There is sufficient control from authorities on the non-fiscal rules and measures with respect to ecommerce parcels.	0	0	0	0	0	0

Purchasing e-commerce products

In this section of the consultation we will be asking specific questions relating to the purchase of ecommerce products to gain a better understanding of the e-commerce market.

Please indicate what type of products you have purchased online from non-EU sellers [Multiple answers are possible]

eliers <i>[Multiple answers are possible]</i>
Consumer electronics (e.g. phones, gaming consoles, TVs, remotes, etc.)
Electronics accessories (e.g. phone covers, phone mounts, camera cases,
etc.)
Household appliances (e.g. irons, vacuum cleaners, coffee machines, etc.)
Personal care products (e.g. colognes, cotton swabs, deodorant, shampoo,
cosmetics, etc.)
Health care products (e.g. vitamins, mineral herbs, probiotics, etc.)
Medical devices (e.g. thermometer, blood pressure monitors, etc.)
Medication
□ Toys
Textiles (e.g. clothing, shoes, etc.)
Books
Food products (e.g. herbs, cereals, baked goods, drinks, etc.)
□ Tools
Excise products (e.g. tobacco, cigarettes, alcoholic drinks, etc.)

Others Approximately what proportion	of your online orders fror	m non-EU sellers are
valued at €150 or less (not included the paragraphs of place by under d	,	
Include the percentage or place 'x' under d	% of orders	Don't know
Response		
If the price was the same (included good online or in a physical store) Online Physical store		i choose to purchase a
Please explain why you would o	choose to purchase onlin	e or in a physical store.
Would you purchase online from product at the same price or che country or in the EU? <i>[one answers</i>] Yes No	eaper from this non-EU o	•
If you would not, why not?		

Yes
No

If you would not, why not?

Do you purchase goods online from non-EU countries because you cannot get the goods domestically or in the EU? [one answer]
Yes
No

If no, what is the main reason you purchase goods online from non-EU countries?

Have any of the following concerns prevented you from purchasing online from non-EU sellers? [One answer per line]

Yes
No

Longer delivery time Higher shipping costs Difficulties with returns Uncertain consumer rights if my purchase is not as expected Uncertainty regarding the use of my personal data or payment data	0 0 0
Difficulties with returns Uncertain consumer rights if my purchase is not as expected	0
Uncertain consumer rights if my purchase is not as expected	0
Officertain consumer rights if my purchase is not as expected	0
Uncertainty regarding the use of my personal data or payment data	0
Uncertain product standards/quality	0
Unexpected costs after purchasing an e-commerce product (e.g. additional shipping costs or clearance and handling fees from postal operators)	0
Potential delays due to customs controls and/or payment of taxes and duties	0
Uncertainty on non-fiscal rules and measures (e.g. uncertain whether the products is a prohibited or restricted goods)	0
Other (please specify)	0

Compliance burden and costs for e-commerce transactions

The following questions relate to the total financial cost of all IT systems employed as an economic operator used in the movement of goods into the EU and the payment of import taxes and duties.

What was the initial cost of purchasing and setting up all IT systems required for managing your e-commerce import obligations?

Include the costs or place 'x' under not applicable

	Costs in €	Not applicable
Response		

What is the annual cost of running these IT systems (including staff costs)?

Include the costs or place 'x' under not applicable

	Costs in €	Not applicable
Response		

The following questions relate to the average time it takes to perform tasks associated with the imports of goods from non-EU countries into the EU. (note: this is about the total time spent actively on these tasks, not the duration from start to finish).

Approximately how long does it take to register yourself as a trader in the EU (e.g. EORI registration, VAT registration or IOSS registration)? *[One answer per line]*

Include the costs or place 'x' under not applicable

Cost in € Not applicable	oplicable
--------------------------	-----------

|--|

Is your company required to have any other registrations or authorisations related to importing e-commerce consignment into the EU? If so, please explain and include the approximate time and cost to register.

Several EU countries (notably IT, FR, ES and PL) require non-EU companies to appoint a VAT fiscal representative upon VAT registration. This is a considerable administrative burden and the annual cost ranges between 5 and 10K EUR.

When lodging declarations, approximately how long does it take to complete all the documentation required to import an average e-commerce consignment from non-EU countries into the EU? (note: completing documentation includes all stages from gathering the information, drafting the documentation, lodging the declaration and forwarding information to your client or on to the next stage of the supply chain)

Include the time or place 'x' under not applicable

	Times in minutes	Not applicable
Response		

What other costs than the above are there associated with importing into the EU? If possible, please provide a description and the approximate costs.

Approximately, how much time is spent communicating with other actors in the supply chain for a single consignment (e.g. freight forwarders, sellers, suppliers, brokers)?

Include the minutes or place 'x' under not applicable

	Times in minutes	Not applicable
Response		

Have you faced any additional costs solely in relation to moving goods into the EU (To comply with specific product requirements)? If so, please provide an indication of the initial and/or ongoing costs.

On average, what is the customs clearance fee per e-commerce consignment?

Include the costs or place 'x' under not applicable

	Costs in €	Not applicable
Response		

Is there a difference in the time spent on the customs clearance per e-commerce consignment when customs duties are levied or not? If so, please explain the difference in an approximate percentage.

Include the percentage or place 'x' under not applicable

	% of difference in time	Not applicable
Response		

Is there a difference in the clearance fee per e-commerce consignment when customs duties are levied or not? If so, please explain the difference in an approximate percentage.

Include the percentage or place 'x' under not applicable

	% of difference in cost	Not applicable
Response		

Is there a difference in the time-spent on the customs when IOSS or the special arrangement scheme is used for VAT purposes? If yes, please explain the difference in an approximate percentage.

Include the percentage or place 'x' under not applicable

	% of difference in time	Not applicable
Response		

Is there a difference in the clearance fee per e-commerce consignment when IOSS or the special arrangement scheme is used for VAT purposes? If yes, please explain the difference in an approximate percentage.

Include the percentage or place 'x' under not applicable

	_		* *		
			% of difference in cost	Not applicable	

Response	

Policy solutions

In the following section of the consultation, we have included options to address known issues in relation to cross-border e-commerce. We would appreciate your view on whether they could constitute solutions for the current challenges, also taking into consideration your role within the e-commerce transaction chain.

Do you think the following options could be considered solutions for the current ecommerce challenges? [One answer per line]

	To a very large extent	To a large extent	To some extent	To a limited extent	Not at all	Don' t know
Making platforms and marketplaces liable to pay customs duties, similarly to the model of the "deemed supplier" concept from the VAT directive	0	0	0	0	0	•
Setting up partnership programmes with compliant e-commerce operators harnessing their technological advances in exchange for trade facilitation	•	0	0	0	0	0
Extending the application of IOSS for the collection of VAT to consignments with a higher value than €150 (e.g. below a threshold of €1000)	0	0	0	•	0	•
Making IOSS mandatory for the collection of VAT up to a certain threshold.	0	•	0	0	0	0
Extending IOSS to also cover customs duties (and possibly excise duties)	0	0	0	0	•	0
Introduction of a flat rate for customs duties	0	•	0	0	0	0
A separate customs value principle for e- commerce (e.g. the purchase price of the consumer)	0	•	0	0	0	0
Introducing the concept of 'importer' in EU customs law and making this 'importer' (jointly) responsible and liable for import obligations (and non-fiscal measures)	0	0	0	•	•	0

Adapting existing customs simplifications to facilitate data exchange and streamline customs formalities (i.e. adaptations that allow for periodic customs declarations and	©	•	©	©	©	©
systematically relying on a transaction-based control approach)						

Which of the following options in relation to the overhaul of the duty relief system (i. e. the EU duty relief threshold of €150) could be considered a solution for the current challenges? [One answer per line]

	To a very large extent	To a large extent	To some extent	To a limited extent	Not at all	Don' t know
Removing the €150 customs duty relief threshold.	0	0	0	•	0	0
Lowering the €150 threshold.	0	0	0	•	0	0
Increasing the €150 threshold.	0	0	0	0	0	•

Do you think the below policy options in relation to Integration and interconnectivity of IT systems could be considered solutions to the current challenges? [One answer per line]

	To a very large extent	To a large extent	To some extent	To a limited extent	Not at all	Don' t know
National Single Window to lodge information and documentation needed for customs and non-customs authorities to fulfil formalities	0	0	•	0	0	0
EU Single Window to lodge the information and documentation needed for customs and non-customs authorities to fulfil formalities.	0	•	0	0	0	©
A data pipeline to enable customs to obtain data about e-commerce consignments directly from the seller, the freight forwarders or any other party.	•	•	0	•	0	•

Please share with us any other solutions that were not mentioned before which you think could be valuable to assess when addressing the existing problems in relation to e-commerce?

-	
- 1	
- 1	
- 1	
- 1	
- 1	
- 1	
- 1	
- 1	
- 1	
- 1	
- 1	
-	



Conclusion

Would you like to add any further comments or suggestions on the challenges, compliance burden, technological evolutions or policy options for cross-border ecommerce?

- We support the EU's ambition to make customs processes and controls more efficient and harmonised. A
 frictionless and responsive customs environment is crucial for many of our members with extensive supply
 chains or operations across EU and international borders. Efficient and simple customs reduce costs and
 time to process imports, facilitates compliant international cross-border trade and minimises delivery
 delays and unexpected costs for EU customers.
- However, it should be a priority to strengthen the functioning and performance of the current IOSS system, in particular by removing inconsistencies between national customs authorities and addressing remaining misalignments between VAT and customs legislation. For example, the customs' IT systems in several key import landing countries are not ready to recognize IOSS numbers in H1 customs declarations which causes double VAT taxation for shipments declared under an H1 customs declaration even though these shipments are IOSS eligible.
- In addition, the current system is prone to misuse of IOSS numbers. This is due to the optionality of the IOSS, the fact that IOSS numbers cannot be kept confidential, but also the lack of transparency of IOSS holders to customs authorities (customs authorities can only verify the validity of an IOSS number, but not the identity of the actual holder). Another root cause for potential misuse is the fact that there is no end-to-end system in place to link IOSS merchant/marketplace level identifier with the transaction level identifier for each shipment. As a result, IOSS numbers can be misused by businesses intentionally (to avoid paying VAT at the customs border) or by mistake. This will lead ultimately to a burden on the IOSS registrant to explain reconciling differences between IOSS returns and EU customs data and to evidence under audit why he should not be held liable for IOSS misuse. In addition, this will drive new unlevel playing fields and lost VAT overall.
- The following actions should be undertaken on short and medium term, before considering to expand the IOSS further:
 - the IOSS system should be improved by introducing a VAT relief mechanism for double paid VAT. This would provide a solution to the most urgent issue, ie the current customs IT readiness issues in some countries for H1 declarations as flagged above, but also provides a solution in case of temporary customs system issues/system crashes.
 - Improve the green lane status of IOSS eligible shipments through supporting national customs to enable their IT systems to handle IOSS for all customs declaration types, including H1 customs declarations.
 - Strengthen the security of the IOSS ID and end-to-end integrity of the existing IOSS program before considering to expand the scope of the IOSS further.
 - Resolve remaining misalignments between VAT and customs legislation, for example between the IOSS VAT scope and the new customs competent office rule under article 221(4) the UCC/IA leading to non-IOSS eligible shipment < 150 EUR, such as B2B, requiring direct clearance in the final delivery country leading to capability issues with brokers and customs logistics partners.
 - Consider mandating IOSS for all shipments in current scope.
- In parallel, the application of Special arrangements should be further harmonized across the EU. While
 there is value and purpose of Special Arrangements, unfortunately its benefits cannot be realised in all

27 EU Member States. Its weakness remains the ability of Member States to add additional criteria. Some of these are very cumbersome and they offset potential benefits thus adding no value.

On longer term and once the performance of the current IOSS system is improved, broader reforms such as expanding the IOSS can be considered. We believe the following overarching principles should form the basis of any reform:

- Channel neutrality and level playing field for all forms and business models of commerce, regardless of where established.
- Reforms are data driven and based on economic reality based on how businesses operate.
- Reforms facilitate genuine and compliance trade to and from the EU.
- Proportionality of any new obligations for businesses.
- Rules are effectively enforceable by customs authorities, also against non-EU actors.
- Reforms appropriately address dependencies with VAT.

Concrete recommendations:

- Consider further simplifications to customs procedures: there are too many possible scenario's
 applying at the EU customs border from a fiscal measures perspective (eg distinction between IOSS
 and non-IOSS B2C parcels, B2B parcels currently not included in IOSS), goods subject to Prohibitions &
 Restrictions (IOSS eligible but with a different declaration / data set). Mandating this IOSS mechanism
 can be a first step towards simplification.
- Consider enhanced data sharing obligations for parties involved in Ecommerce supply chain: we believe customs duty collection can be further improved by data sharing obligations for parties involved in the ecommerce supply chain, and by effectively using this data. Marketplaces using the IOSS are already reporting VAT data through this system. In addition, as from 2024, Payment Service providers will also start sharing data via the 'Central Electronic System of Payment information' (CESOP). Additional enhanced data sharing by actors in the Ecommerce supply chain can help address the detection of undervaluation, VAT and customs fraud, and the detection of non-financial risks. We believe the following considerations should be taken into account for defining data sharing obligations:
 - An EU harmonized and digitized One-Stop Shop approach is strongly preferred, i.e. data sharing should be centralized via a one digital customs interface within the EU.
 - Responsibility for the correctness of the data shared should be balanced, and take into
 account that for example marketplaces largely depend on data provided by the seller and
 marketplaces cannot verify the accuracy of most of these datapoints.
 - O Data privacy and confidentiality should be safeguarded and data minimisation principles should apply.
 - Level playing field: additional data sharing obligations and liability should apply broadly, irrespective of the place of establishment, business model, etc..

Actionable datapoints: the datapoints shared should be actionable, scalable and should contribute to more effectively manage financial and non-financial risks. It is key to identify an effective matching data key to link between the data provided by different actors. Required datapoints should be determined based on a **risk based approach**.

- It should also be considered whether sharing data can be reciprocal (i.e. from authorities to
 economic operators), as this would allow business to identify bad actors.
- For aggregator liability to be considered, the party that has best access to the customs data needed to calculate the duties should be identified. For example, B2C Ecommerce sales and in particular low

value shipments are often made under DDU/DAP Incoterms and therefore customs clearance is done by or on behalf of the final customers. In case of DDP sales, customs clearance done by or on behalf of the Seller. In this respect, marketplaces have no control or line of sight on the import country and import clearance process.

- If an extension of the IOSS to high value shipments (above 150EUR) is considered, careful assessment is needed of the interaction with customs duties, as high value shipments are subject to customs duties and these are to be included in the taxable base for VAT purposes.
- Threshold for duty exemption: interdependencies with VAT should be carefully considered before changing the threshold. Lowering the threshold would not be helpful at all and would be contrary to trends in rest of the world. Based on global precedent, there is certainly scope to increase the customs duty threshold alongside any increase in IOSS threshold as the EU has a threshold lower than many major markets around the world. It would therefore make sense for the study to quantify the trade-off between lost duty versus higher and less costly VAT collections stemming from an increased threshold. Given the comparatively low duty rates in the EU compared to VAT rates, we suspect the findings of a study of an increased duty exemption threshold would be positive
- A key mechanism to increase efficiency of the EU Customs Union should be to further facilitate trade by trusted traders, as this will support compliant and legitimate businesses while allowing customs authorities to focus more on fraud and non- compliance risk areas. This should be achieved by allowing enhanced benefits for Authorized Economic Operators (AEO). A key simplifier that should urgently be operationalized for AEO traders is the centralized customs clearance capability designed in a way that would truly be centralized (i.e. with the decisive role of the MS of identification only). AEO traders should also have access to post-entry data to check for any inconsistencies with for example Import One Stop Shop return data (this is currently only possible in a limited number of Member States).